

IDEA Part B Section 618 Data Quality Webinar

Monday, August 19th

Meredith Miceli

Richelle Davis

Matthew Clark

Agenda

- Introduction
- Findings from OSEP's review of SY 2011-12/ 2012 IDEA Part B Section 618 data submissions
- Changes for SY 2012-13/ 2013
 - Data collection and due dates
 - Data quality review procedures

IDEA Data Review Process

- System closes on the due date for a “snapshot” of the data; snapshot is used for OSEP’s review
- OSEP reviews the snapshot data for timeliness, completeness, and accuracy
- Once review is complete, OSEP posts a Data Quality Review Report to each State’s individual OMB Max webpage
- State reviews report and begins preparing for reopen period
- States may resubmit or provide a data note during reopen period (approx. 1 month)
- OSEP reviews data notes and/or resubmissions

OSEP Review

- Timeliness
 - Are the data in by the due date?
- Completeness
 - Are all file specifications submitted?
 - Are all category sets, subtotals, and totals submitted?
 - Do data match our metadata sources?
- Accuracy
 - Do data meet our edit checks?

OSEP's Review of SY 2011-12/ 2012 Data

Following up on concerns related to:	Completeness	Accuracy
Child Count/ Ed Environments	16	8
Personnel	15	2
Exiting	16	3
Discipline	12	15
Assessment	43	34
Dispute Resolution	2	1
LEA MOE and CEIS	7	0*

* OSEP followed up with 46 states related to the quality of other aspects of the LEA MOE and CEIS data submissions.

IDEA Personnel and Exiting Data

- Data Quality Considerations:
 - Are counts reporting only in the categories used by the state according to the SSS-IDEA?

IDEA Discipline Data

- Data Quality Considerations:
 - Is the sum of CWDs reported for total disciplinary removals less than or equal to the sum of CWDs reported as removed to an IAES, suspended, or expelled?
 - Is the sum of CWDs reported in FS005 – unilateral removed by school personnel greater than or equal to the sum of removals for drugs, weapons, or serious bodily injury?

IDEA Dispute Resolution Data

- Data Quality Considerations:
 - Are the data submitted via EMAPS by the due date and time?
 - Do the data violate any edit checks?

IDEA Assessment Data

- Data Quality Considerations for Completeness:
 - Is Full Academic Year and Non-Full Academic Years status reported for each grade level, assessment type, and subject area?
 - Do the types of assessments given and the number of performance levels for each assessment match the information submitted by the state's Assessment Directors for the Academic Achievement Levels (AAL) meta-data survey?
 - Do the data submitted in C004 - Reasons for Not Participating match the information submitted by the Data Manager in the State Supplemental Survey-IDEA meta-data survey?
 - Do the data submitted in C004 – Reasons for Not Participating match the number of CWDs not participating in C185 and C188 (assessment participation files) ?

IDEA Child Count and Educational Environments Data

- Data Quality Considerations:
 - Are data reported for all category sets, subtotals, and totals that are required and applicable to your state?
 - Do the detailed counts reported sum to the subtotals or total reported?
 - Do the totals for each category set match?

IDEA LEA MOE and CEIS Data

- Data Quality Considerations:
 - Did all LEAs/ ESAs reported receive a Section 611 or 619 subgrant?
 - Are only the dollar amounts that each LEA or ESA reduced local, or State and local, expenditures under the IDEA MOE provision in IDEA §613(a)(2)(C) (i.e., an adjustment of expenditures due to an increase in an IDEA LEA allocation under 300.705 from the previous fiscal year) during SY 2011-2012 reported?
 - Is an “M” for Missing only reported if the state did not collect or cannot report a count for the specific category that is applicable?
 - Is a 0 reported for the amount reserved for voluntary CEIS (instead of “M” for Missing) if the state collected the amount that was reserved for voluntary CEIS and it was \$0 for that LEA/ ESA?
 - Were the data that triggered warnings in the EMAPS system confirmed and determined accurate?

Resubmission of FFY 2011/ SY
2011-12 LEA MOE and CEIS Data
are due by 11:59pm Eastern on
August 31st.

SY 2012-13 IDEA Part B Data

	Due Date	Submission Method
Personnel	November 6, 2013	ESS
Exiting	November 6, 2013	ESS
Discipline	November 6, 2013	ESS
Assessment	December 19, 2013	ESS
Dispute Resolution	November 6, 2013	EMAPS
Child Count/ Ed Environments	April 2, 2014	ESS
LEA MOE and CEIS	May 7, 2014	EMAPS

Changes in the Collection of IDEA Data

- SY 2012-13 IDEA Assessment Data
 - Eliminated FS146 – Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Alternate Assessment Caps
- SY 2013-14 IDEA Child Count and Educational Environments Data
 - Moving due date to 1st Wednesday in April (April 2, 2014)

Changes in the Data Quality Review

- Timeliness

- Data submitted on or before the due date.
- All EDFacts files need to be submitted by due date and time.
 - LEA MOE and CEIS collection – must hit the submit button

Changes in the Data Quality Review

- Completeness

- No missing category sets, subtotals, totals.
- No placeholder data.
- State-level data include data from all districts or agencies.
- Data submissions must match meta-data sources
 - State Supplemental Survey (SSS) -IDEA
 - Academic Achievement Level (AAL) information
- All data submissions must include zero counts reported as appropriate

Changes in the Data Quality Review

- Accuracy

- Data submissions do not have internal inconsistencies – as identified by edit checks.
- No changes to the edit checks.

Changes in the Data Quality Review

– Year to Year Changes

****OSEP is in the process of developing a new way to review year to year changes.****

Will provide additional information on the data elements and standards being used to review the year to year changes

Data Quality Tools

- EDFacts OSEP pre-fill reports
 - SEA-level
 - LEA-level
- EDFacts Error Submission Reports (for data submitted to ESS)
- Warnings or Errors in EMAPS submissions

Questions?